



HKU Jockey Club
Enterprise Sustainability
Global Research Institute

X



HKU
BUSINESS
SCHOOL
港大經管學院

ACRC

Asia Case Research Centre
亞洲案例研究中心

Reflection Report 02

Independence as Strategy: Reflections on CECA





Independence as Strategy: Reflections on CECA

HKU Jockey Club Enterprise Sustainability Global Research Institute

×

Asia Case Research Centre

CASE SUMMARY

The case study details the history, operations, and strategy of the CrossBorder Environment Concern Association (CECA), a grassroots environmental NGO in mainland China. Founded by graduates of Hong Kong universities, CECA focus specifically on monitoring coastal and marine ecosystem impacts from major infrastructure projects. It operates within the legal frameworks established by China's 2016 Charity Law and 2018 Public Participation Rules of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA).

According to the latest data available at the completion of this case study in 2024, CECA's core activity involved systematically scanning public notices from over 240 government departments to identify upcoming projects twice a week. Its team of staff and trained volunteers then conducts technical reviews of Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA), performs legal compliance checks, and submits formal recommendations to authorities. The organization prides itself on its independence, refusing to rely on government funding (which accounts for 70-80% of the sector's funding) to avoid perceived compromises. Instead, 70-80% of its income comes from local charitable foundations (e.g., SEE Foundation, Alibaba Foundation), with 20-30% generated from training courses offered to other NGOs and educational institutions.

The case describes CECA's flat, non-hierarchical partnership structure, its heavy reliance on a rigorous volunteer recruitment and training system (with 45 core volunteers as of late 2023), and its strategies for policy advocacy, including submitting research-based proposals to the National People's Congress (NPC) and the Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference (CPPCC). CECA has reviewed 646 cases between its inception and October 2023, issuing letters in 441 cases, and directly stopping or improving 136 non-compliant projects, claiming a 25-30% success rate on individual cases it engages with.

FUNDAMENTAL LEARNINGS

Independence is a Strategic Choice, Not an Accident

CECA's conscious avoidance of government funding, despite its prevalence in the sector, is a calculated strategy to preserve its autonomy and credibility when critiquing state-led projects. This demonstrates that operational independence in a regulated environment is achievable, but requires deliberate financial diversification and may limit access to the largest funding pools.

Professionalism and Technical Rigor as a Form of Sustainable Governance

CECA's model provides a critical, practical link between high-level sustainability policies (e.g., carbon neutrality, ecological red lines) and ground-level project implementation. By acting as an independent, technical verifier of EIA and legal compliance, CECA directly operationalize the "G" (Governance) in ESG. It transforms policy goals into actionable oversight, demonstrating that effective sustainability governance relies not just on regulations, but on capable, non-state actors who can provide credible, evidence-based scrutiny. This role is symbiotic: CECA's legitimacy depends on its technical accuracy, while its findings help enforce and refine the regulatory framework itself.

The Volunteer Model is a Double-Edged Sword for Capacity and Sustainability

CECA's extensive use of highly educated volunteers is central to its operational scale and low-cost model. However, the case reveals inherent tensions in social sustainability: high dropout rates, significant investment in training, and difficulty in transitioning volunteers to stable staff. This highlights the challenge of building sustainable human capital within a mission-driven organization. The volunteer experience itself, offering skill development and civic engagement, is a valuable social output, but the organization's long-term resilience depends on balancing this passion-driven model with professional stability.

Collaborative Capacity-Building Strengthens the Entire NGO Sector

CECA actively trains and partners with smaller, local NGOs, viewing them not as competitors but as allies. This approach suggests that in a sector with shared challenges (regulatory hurdles, limited resources), investing in the ecosystem's overall health can amplify collective impact and resilience more effectively than isolated competition.



FUTURE IMPLICATIONS



For Government Regulators and Policymakers

- Value and Streamline Technical Input Channels: Organizations like CECA provide free, expert review that can improve project quality and regulatory compliance. Policymakers could consider formalizing and simplifying channels for such professional societal input into the EIA and planning processes, potentially increasing efficiency and reducing downstream risks.
- Foster a Conducive Environment for Domestic Philanthropy: CECA's funding model relies on mature local foundations. Continued policy support for the growth, transparency, and operational ease of China's philanthropic sector may play an important role in supporting a diverse and independent ecosystem of social organizations.



For NGOs Operating in Similar Contexts

- Cultivate a Niche Based on Strong Expertise: The case suggests that deep, technical specialization in a specific domain can become an organization's primary source of legitimacy and influence, creating a defensible position within a crowded or constrained civic space.
- Design Volunteer Programs for Long-Term Engagement, Not Just Task Completion: To mitigate attrition and maximize value, NGOs may benefit from structuring volunteer roles with clear progression pathways, recognition systems, and opportunities for meaningful responsibility, treating them as a talent pipeline rather than just temporary labour.
- Prioritize Ecosystem Development: Proactively sharing knowledge, tools, and networks with peer organizations can build collective capacity and advocacy power, making the entire sector more robust and effective.



For Funders (Foundations and Grant-Makers)

- Provide Flexible, Core Support to Guard Independence: Funders interested in supporting credible, independent voices may wish to consider offering multi-year, unrestricted grants that allow organizations like CECA to strategize long-term, retain key staff, and respond nimbly to opportunities without being tied to narrow project deliverables.
- Develop Nuanced Impact Metrics: Beyond environmental outcomes, funders could explore collaborating with NGOs to create frameworks that capture "softer" impacts, such as volunteer skill development, public policy literacy, and strengthened civic engagement, which are central to long-term social change.



CONCLUDING REMARKS

The CECA case illustrates how a technically rigorous, independently funded, and collaboratively oriented organization can function as a vital actor within broader sustainability frameworks. It demonstrates that effective environmental stewardship may benefit from not only regulatory structures but also capable non-state actors who provide credible oversight and help translate policy objectives into tangible outcomes.

CECA's approach, combining specialized expertise with systematic public engagement, showcases how environmental protection, social empowerment, and accountable governance can be advanced in parallel through practical, evidence-based advocacy. Ultimately, this case underscores that meaningful progress toward sustainability may be supported by strengthening the ecosystem of actors, processes, and partnerships that together enable transparent, informed, and participatory decision-making.

To explore this case in greater depth, we encourage you to visit the [link](#) and get in touch with the team at ACRC.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We sincerely thank the HKU Asia Case Research Centre (ACRC) for providing the case study “**Breaking Barriers: CECA Forging Environmental Advocacy in Mainland China’s NGO Arena**”(Authored by: Shipeng Yan, Claudia H L Woo), that serves as the foundation for this reflection report. We deeply appreciate ACRC’s ongoing commitment to advancing knowledge in business and management through its rigorous, context-rich cases focused on Asia.

ACRC’s high-quality case materials have consistently provided essential foundations for our **NGO ESG case reflection series**. These carefully researched cases bridge the gap between theoretical frameworks and practical applications, enabling deeper exploration of complex, real-world challenges across sectors and regions. We also recognize ACRC’s significant role in elevating the global visibility and pedagogical relevance of Asian perspectives within management education.

We look forward to continuing to build upon ACRC’s valuable case library as we develop further insights aimed at fostering thoughtful dialogue and impactful practice in the fields of ESG, sustainability, governance, and social innovation. If you are interested in this Case, please direct to ACRC website to purchase for detailed contents.



HKU Jockey Club
Enterprise Sustainability Global
Research Institute



HKU
BUSINESS
SCHOOL
港大經管學院

ACRC
Asia Case Research Centre
亞洲案例研究中心

About HKU Jockey Club Enterprise Sustainability Global Research Institute

The HKU Jockey Club Enterprise Sustainability Global Research Institute is established by HKU Business School and funded by The Hong Kong Jockey Club Charities Trust, to establish a world-class hub for best practices of Sustainability in Hong Kong, Asia and beyond. Our mission is to promote excellence in the field of Sustainability by bringing together globally distinguished scholars from diverse fields, integrating knowledge and practice, inspiring innovation, fostering collaboration and conducting impactful research.

We work in partnership with academics, government departments, NGOs and corporate partners to harness the transformative power of environmental, social and corporate governance to create a sustainable and equitable future.

About Asia Case Research Centre

The Asia Case Research Centre (ACRC) is a research centre affiliated with The University of Hong Kong, HKU Business School. Established in 1997 to address a growing demand for research and instructive materials relating to Asian business, the Centre now boasts a repository of context-rich cases drawn from a vast range of industries and disciplinary areas.

The ACRC is committed to the advancement of learning and teaching in business education and strives to promote leading management thinking through research on the latest practices in the Asian business environment. We work with scholars from universities and institutions within and outside the region, and enjoy close ties with the business community.



HKU Jockey Club
Enterprise Sustainability Global
Research Institute

Established by



HKU
BUSINESS
SCHOOL
港大經管學院

Funded by



香港賽馬會慈善信託基金
The Hong Kong Jockey Club
Charities Trust